

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

Va

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/612,296		07/03/2003	Alain Tornier	14387	3181	
293	7590	03/18/2005		EXAMINER		
Ralph A. Dowell of DOWELL & DOWELL P.C.				STEWART, ALVIN J		
2111 Eisenho	ower Ave	<del>)</del> .				
Suite 406				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Alexandria,	VA 223	314		3738		
				DATE MAIL ED. 02/19/2004	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•	4
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/612,296	TORNIER, ALAIN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alvin J Stewart	3738	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cause the application to become ABANDOI	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communical NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Ja</u>	anuary 2005.		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar			is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.	,
Disposition of Claims			/
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) 8, 9 and 13 is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,10 and 11</u> is/are rejected.			•
7) Claim(s) <u>12</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 March 2003</u> is/are:	•		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	tammer. Note the attached Only	te Action of form F10-132.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)☐ Åcknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority document			
2. Certified copies of the priority document			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ived in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ved	
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the confined copies not recei	<b>100</b> .	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informa	Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 2

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-7, 10 & 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bahler US Patent 6,749,637 B1.

Bahler discloses an implant comprising a humeral component (11), a concave surface (35), an intermediate component ((41 & 65), first (43) and second (75) convex surfaces, a concave glenoid (85), a plate (33), a part (13), a linking stem (17), a washer (63) and a dish (73).

Regarding the wherein clause, see col. 2, lines 14-24; col. 3, lines 29-32; col. 4, lines 22-25.

Regarding claim 7, see col. 8, lines 20-23.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 12 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed January 06, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant's representative discloses that the convex/concave surfaces of the Bahler reference are not articulation surfaces allowing sliding movement relative to one another.

The Examiner disagrees with the Applicant's representative point of view. Bahler discloses a convex surface (43) capable of sliding movement or pivotally movement relative to the concave surface of element (33). Col. 9, lines 10-21, discloses that the convex surface 43 is pivotable about the second axis (37) in the rotating piece (33). Therefore, if the surface 43 pivots with respect to the axis of element 33, then, the element 41 is pivotable with respect to element 33. Finally, element 41 has an oblong slot that makes the structure 41 slide relative to element 33. For the above reasons, the Examiner believes that the previous rejection is proper.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin J Stewart whose telephone number is 703-305-0277. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00AM-5:30PM(1 Friday B-week off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alvin J Stewart Primary Examiner Art Unit 3738

ALVIN J. STEWART PRIMARY EXAMINES

October 13, 2004.